

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/845,923	KENNEDY, PATRICK
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia Leith	1655

All Participants:

(1) Patricia Leith.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(2) Attorney Jesse Bucholtz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 August 2006

Time: approx. 12:30pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 first.

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Relayed to Mr. Bucholtz that claims 13, 18 and 21 still provided for problems under 35 USC 112 first paragraph. Proposed the cancellation of claims 13, 18 and dependant claims thereof, and amending claim 21 to include the types of enzymes. Further proposed the cancellation of the withdrawn claims as well as minor corrections in the claims in order to better clarify the claims. Mr. Bucholtz agreed to the changes proposed in the Examiner's amendment.